

by Nancy R Kirsch, PT, DPT, PhD

# **Ethical Decision Making: Terminology** and Context

Use these tools to look at ethical situations in a systematic way.

hen a physical therapy practitioner is confronted with a new clinical situation, he or she recognizes the need to gather all pertinent information about the patient before rendering a clinical judgment. The same thorough assessment is necessary when clinicians are faced with an ethical situation. In both cases there are steps to take and decision paths to follow in order to reach problem-solving objectives.

In last month's initial Ethics in Action,<sup>1</sup> I summarized the history of

our profession's ethical commitment to patients and clients, as well as the goals set for this column by APTA's Ethics and Judicial Committee: namely, to promote "development of the sort of mature and thoughtful ethical decisionmaking skills that PTs and PTAs must have in order to provide optimal patient care in the environment of autonomous practice foreseen in Vision 2020." This month's column establishes the ethics terminology we'll be using and the context that will be common to all the case



scenarios we'll be discussing in future months.

Ethical decision-making has become more and more complex as the relationship between physical therapists (PTs) and physical therapist assistants (PTAs) and their patients and clients increasingly has been affected by such outside influences as the institution in which care is rendered and the source of third-party reimbursement. A variety of methods might be employed to analyze the ethical issues posed by these factors and come to ethical decisions. In this column we will be using the Realm-Individual Process-Situation (RIPS) model of ethical decision-making.<sup>2</sup>

In the RIPS model, the context or realm<sup>3</sup> is where the decision is made. The elements of ethical behavior, including ethical decision making, constitute the individual process.<sup>4</sup> The ethical situation,<sup>5,6</sup> finally, is the specific scenario that demands moral action.

Realm. Historically the focus of bioethics was the individual; issues involved the individual practitioner and the individual patient.7 Health care has undergone extensive changes since the 1970s, however, that have had a significant impact on the patient-PT relationship and have brought new pressures to bear on the PT. The work of Jack Glaser<sup>3</sup> provides the context within which PTs and PTAs now find themselves when confronted with ethical issues. Glaser has defined three realms within which we currently practice: the individual, the organizational/institutional, and the societal.

The individual realm is concerned with the good of the patient/client and focuses on rights, duties, relationships, and behav-

Circle Reader Service No. 2 or visit www.apta.org/adinfo

Realm	Individual Process	Ethical Situation
Individual	Moral Sensitivity	Problem or Issue
Institutional/	Moral Judgment	Temptation
Organizational	Moral Motivation	Distress
Societal	Moral Courage	Dilemma
		Silence

iors between individuals. It deals with the least complex problems. The *institutionall organizational realm* is concerned with the good of the organization and focuses on structures and systems that will facilitate organizational or institutional goals. The *societal realm* is concerned with the common good and is the most complex realm. PTs find themselves faced with increasingly difficult ethical issues that touch on all three realms. *Individual process.* The work of James Rest<sup>8</sup> holds that ethical decision making is only one component of ethical behavior. He defines the concept of what he calls moral behavior as containing four components:

- Moral sensitivity: Recognizing, interpreting, and framing ethical situations.
- Moral judgment: Deciding between right and wrong actions. Considering the ethical principles of autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and

justice, then selecting and applying them. Autonomy, in health care, is the patient or research subject's right to self-determination. Beneficence is care carried out by a health care provider that is in the patient's best interest. Non-maleficence is doing no harm, by acts of omission or commission. Justice is equity, or fair treatment. (Distributive justice asks, "How equitable is the distribution of justice at the societal level? Comparative justice asks, "How is health care delivered at the individual level?"9 Veracity, or truth-telling, may be considered another form of justice, although not all bio-ethicists deem it so.<sup>10</sup>)

 Moral motivation: Prioritizing ethical values over financial gain or self interest.



- Differentiate your practice for program growth
- Create a community resource for clinical excellence in balance

Explore opportunities in balance by visiting NeuroCom<sup>®</sup> at **www.setyourselfapart.com** or **call 800-767-6744**, ext. 3316 today!

## ethicsinaction

\* Moral courage: Implementing the chosen ethical action even though doing so causes adversity.

So, first the PT or PTA faces an ethical situation in which moral sensitivity is required. In order to demonstrate moral judgment, that individual must determine which ethical principle-or principles-is/ are involved. Professionalism drives moral motivation. Moral courage, finally, may be required of the individual.

Ethical situation. The writings of Ruth Purtilo, PT, PhD, FAPTA, and Rushworth Kidder provide guidance on the types of ethical situations a PT or PTA may encounter.5,6

Purtilo describes a problem or issue as a situation in which important moral values are being challenged.

Kidder describes a temptation as a situation in which a choice must be made between a right action and a wrong one.

The decision-maker may benefit in some way from doing the wrong thing; conversely, choosing the right course of action may mean relinquishing personal gain.

Purtilo describes distress as a situation in which the practitioner knows the right course of action but encounters a structural barrier to implementing it. Type A barriers are institutional or financial in nature-the practitioner is not empowered to make the decision; it's the purview of another agent. A Type B barrier is when the practitioner knows something is wrong but is unable to identify that "something."

Purtilo describes a dilemma as a problem that involves two or more principles that both are correct courses of action but cannot both be followed. For example, the PT desires to do what is best for the patient (beneficence), but the patient refuses treatment (autonomy).

(A)

## WEB SLIDE® EXERCISE RAIL SYSTEM

This fixed point exercise station is for regular users of low cost exercise equipment such as tubing, bands and pulleys. It is compact and includes everything you need--fixtures, exercise devices, instructional materials--to quickly and effectively train and monitor patients in need of rehab and fitness exercise programs.

## Easy as 1-2-3

1. Select an Exercise Device (E) from the Storage Rack (C) and choose an exercise from the Wall Poster (B).

2. Slide the device onto the Exercise Rail (A) at the desired elevation and have the patient perform the assigned exercise routine

3. Use a "Tear-Off" Exercise Sheet (D) to prescribe exercises, chart progress, and maintain a patient history.

- No assembly or maintenance is required.
- · No moving parts.
- · Exercise Rails easily attach to any wall stud.
- · Installation instructions & materials provided.



Fax: (800) 577-3725

Dept PTM06ER

See us in San Diego - Booth 1173 Stop by our booth and drop off your business card for a chance to win a deluxe Web-Slide Exercise Rail System.

**PrePak** 

4055 Oceanside Blvd Ste L Oceanside CA 92056-5821 Call for a FREE Catalog (800) 544-7257 X 287 www.prepakproducts.com

Circle Reader Service No. 24 or visit www.apta.org/adinfo

Silence also can present an ethical situation, the RIPS model recognizes. In this case, all the key parties realize that ethical values are being challenged, but nobody is talking about that challenge or how to address it.

The RIPS model offers practitioners a way to "walk all the way around" an ethical situation. It has the virtue of being broader in scope than are most other ethical decision-making models, but it comes with its own limitations. Its rational, linear approach to resolving ethical situations does not easily factor in the emotional aspects of decision making or encourage moral dialogue within its framework. Still, it's a useful tool for beginning to analyze ethical situations in an organized manner.

Next month I'll present a case scenario to which to apply the RIPS model. See you then.

Nancy R Kirsch, PT, DPT, PhD, is a member of APTA's Ethics and Judicial Committee and is an associate professor of physical therapy in the University of Medicine and Dentistry's School of Health Related Professions in Newark, New Jersey. She can be contacted at kirschna@umdni.edu.

- 1. Kirsch N. A framework for thought and discussion. PT—Magazine of Physical Therapy. 2006;14(1). Swisher LL, Arslanian, LE, Davis CM. The Realm-
- Individual Process-Situation (RIPS) Model of Ethical Decision Making. HPA Resource. 2005;5(3).
- 3 Glaser JW. Three realms of ethics: an integrative map of ethics for the future. In Purtilo RB, Jensen GM, Royeen CB, eds. Educating for Moral Action: A Sourcebook in Health and Rehabilitation Ethics. Philadelphia, PA: FA Davis. 2005;169-184.
- Rest JR, Narvaez D, eds. Moral Development in the 4. Professions: Psychology and Applied Ethics. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. 1994.
- Purtilo RB. Ethical Dimensions in the Health Professions, 4th 5. ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier. 2005.
- Kidder RM. How Good People Make Tough Choices: Resolving the Dilemmas of Ethical Living. New York, NY: Fireside, 1995
- 7. Morreim EH. Balancing Act: The New Medical Ethics of Medicine's New Economics. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press. 1995.
- Rest JR, Narvaez D, Bebeau MJ, Thoma SJ. Postconventional 8. Moral Thinking: A NeoKohlbergian Approach. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Elbaum Associates. 1999.
- Veatch RM. The Basics of Bioethics, 2nd ed. Upper Saddle 9. River, NJ. Prentice Hall. 2003.
- 10. Beauchamp TL, Childress JF. Principles of Biomedical Ethics, 5th ed. New York, NY: Oxford University Press. 2001.

Copyright of PT: Magazine of Physical Therapy is the property of American Physical Therapy Association and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.